UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/542,358	07/14/2005	Yasuaki Yokoyama	273117US0PCT	2848	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			EXAMINER		
			SHEVIN, MARK L		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1793		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/07/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,358	YOKOYAMA ЕТ	- AL.
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARK L. SHEVIN	1793	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of, but it does not be a proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on, but it does not provide the proposed reply was received on 	lailing or Transmission dated; month(s)) which expired on	·	
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	n consists only of: (1) a timely filed an Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); o	nendment which pla	aces the
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitution final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See €		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☑ No reply has been received.			
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-88) (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85). 	5). received on (with a Certifica	ate of Mailing or Tr	ansmission dated
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.		
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ T	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	
(c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requal Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on 	•		
after the expiration of the period for reply.	•		
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants.	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	gnee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.	attorney or agent (acting in a representation)	entative capacity ur	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfere of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim		e the period for see	eking court review
7. The reason(s) below:			
/Mark L. Shevin/	/George Wyszomierski/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793		
D (()		255 4 404 · · · · · · ·	